The 2005 Harvard University Home Builder Survey

Corporate Overview

Supported by the Harvard Center for Textile and Apparel Research, through funding provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

JOINT CENTER FOR HOUSING STUDIES

Graduate School of Design • Kennedy School of Government • Harvard University



Additional Information

The survey will take you approximately 20 minutes to complete per section. Although your participation in the survey is entirely voluntary, we strongly encourage you to participate. There are no job-related or other consequences for not participating. You may also choose to answer some questions on the survey and not others—although we urge you to complete as many questions as possible. All responses to the survey will be kept confidential. Your answers to these questions will be combined with dozens of other companies and the resulting information will be grouped together when used to write reports. All individual identifying information will be kept confidential and used only by persons on the research team.

Instructions

Unless otherwise noted, your responses should reflect your experiences during the 2004 fiscal year.

You are sometimes asked to skip over some questions in the survey. When this happens, you will see an arrow with a note that tells you what question to answer like this:

$$\square_1$$
 Yes \square_2 No \rightarrow Skip to E4

This survey is divided into three sections. It can be completed all at once, or by section. You may find it helpful for different members of your organization to complete different sections. We present some guidelines below, should you choose to complete the survey by section type.

- **A.** <u>Corporate Overview.</u> This section provides an overview of your company's activities and how these compare to activities of 5 years ago, it provides a financial overview, and has items on company profitability. This section is best completed by a corporate executive with CEO or CFO responsibilities.
- **B.** <u>Supply Chain Management.</u> This section describes your company's supplier relations and purchasing decisions. It is best completed by the person handling purchasing responsibilities at your company.
- **C.** <u>Technology Overview</u>. This section is best completed by the head of information technology at your company.

This is to be completed at the corporate office for companies that have multiple operating locations. If your company operates out of more than one administrative office, please complete this questionnaire from a company-wide perspective.

A. Company Background Information

Please answer the following questions on this survey for your residential construction activities only (to the extent possible).

A1. Approximately how many employees were on the payroll at your company in 2004 and 1999 (in all locations):

#	number of employees in	2004
#	number of employees ir	1 99 9

A2. What were total sales for your company in fiscal years 2004 and 1999? How many housing units were sold?

Total sales	Fill in answers below	
Total sales	in millions of dollars	Housing units sold
In 2004	\$million	#
In 1999	\$million	#

Has your company merged with or acquired another company(s) since 1999? ☐ Yes ☐ No →Skip to A4		
A3a. (If yes:) Approximately what share of current annual reactivity since 1999?	evenue is the result of this m	nerger and acquisition
%		
	Yes	No
c. Architecture/interior design		
d. Purchasing/national accounts		
e. Information technology		
f. Construction quality control		
g. Subcontractor relations		
h. Customer satisfaction		
Did you have employees on staff at this location performing thes	e functions in fiscal year <u>19</u>	999?
	Check one for eac	ch row below
	Yes	No
Ť Ť		
b. Land entitlement		
c. Architecture/interior design		
d. Purchasing/national accounts		
e. Information technology		
f. Construction quality control		
g. Subcontractor relations		
h. Customer satisfaction		
	Yes No →Skip to A4 A3a. (If yes:) Approximately what share of current annual reactivity since 1999?	Yes No →Skip to A4

A6.	From 1999 to 2004, would you say that each of the functions listed below – from a corporate perspective – has
	increased in importance in recent years, decreased in importance, or remained about the same?

	Check one below for each row		
	Importance Increased	Importance Decreased	Importance Remained the same
a. Land acquisition/development			
b. Land entitlement			
c. Architecture/interior design			
d. Purchasing/national accounts			
e. Information technology			
f. Construction quality control			
g. Subcontractor relations			
h. Customer satisfaction			

A7.	Which of these functions has <u>increased</u> in importance the <u>most</u> at your company in recent years? (Check only <u>one</u>)
	☐ Land acquisition/development
	☐ Land entitlement
	☐ Architecture/interior design
	☐ Purchasing/national accounts
	☐ Information technology
	☐ Construction quality control
	☐ Subcontractor relations
	☐ Customer satisfaction

A8. Approximately what share of single-family homes sold by your company in fiscal years **2004** and **1999** were **speculative** (your company initiated the construction, and the home was sold during or after construction) vs. **presold** (the home was pre-sold before construction began)?

		Enter % below	
		2004	1999
a.	Speculative- not pre-sold before beginning construction	%	%
b.	Pre-sold- before beginning construction	%	%
c.	Other (Please Specify:)	%	%
	Shares should total:	100%	100%

A9.	How much land does your company currently own outright given your projected production levels?
	☐ Years # (include decimal points as necessary)
	☐ Don't own land / less than one month supply

A10. For how much land does your company currently have options or otherwise control given your proproduction levels?		
	☐ Years # (include decimal points as necessary)	
	☐ Don't have options or otherwise control / less than one month supply	
A11.	Have land acquisition strategies at your company changed in recent years? ☐ Yes ☐ No →Skip to A12	
	A11a. (If yes:) How have land acquisition strategies at your company changed in recent years? (Check all that apply) Increased land development activities by our company Decreased land development activities by our company Increased land inventory (all types) Decreased land inventory (all types) Increased participation in land-related joint ventures Greater reliance on off-balance sheet arrangements Greater reliance on options Other (Please Specify:)	
A12.	In 2004 , what was your company's annual average cost of money borrowed (i.e. average interest rate for all company debt)?	
	☐ Average cost of borrowing (bank borrowing and other debt) =%	
	☐ Not applicable/ didn't borrow in 2004	
A13.	In 2004, what was your company's annual average cost of capital (i.e. average cost of debt and equity financing)?	
	Average cost of capital (debt and equity) =%	
	☐ Not applicable – Not a publicly traded company	
A14.	In fiscal years 1999 and 2004, what is your best estimate of your company's net income (profit), before taxes, as a share of total revenue?	
	(Please consider net income as total revenue sales of homes, land, and other revenue minus all operating costs, including but not limited to: land, direct and indirect construction costs, sales and marketing, and overhead.)	
	2004 net income (profit) before taxes =% of revenue	
	1999 net income (profit) before taxes = •% of revenue	

A15. Please rank the importance of each of the following in terms of **profitability** at your company over the past 5 years, on a 1 to 7 scale, with 1 being the most important and 7 being the least important.

Rank	(1 = most important; 7 = least important)	
	More efficient/successful land assembly strategy	
	Savings in purchases of building products	
	Savings in on-site construction costs; callbacks	
	Improved customer perception/customer satisfaction	
	Increased margins in our home sales prices due to stronger market conditions	
	Increased use of information technology and web based systems	
	Reduction in construction cycle time	
(not ranked)	Other (Please Specify)	

Please provide your best estimates for company performance along the following measures for 1999 and 2004. A16.

Performance Measure	1999	2004
a. Average cycle-time for home construction (company wide)	days	days
b. Average gross margins for homes built	%	%
c. Average cost/square foot of construction (excluding costs of basements, slabs, and land).	\$/sq. ft.	\$/sq. ft.
d. Customer satisfaction (willingness to recommend)	% willing to recommend	% willing to recommend

B. Supply Chain Management

The nex	t series of	questions ask about supplier relations and product choices.
B1.	Yes,	generally share specific information on your planned building activities with your dealers and suppliers? , always—Skip to B2 , occasionally—Skip to B2 not usually
	B1a.	(If no:) Would you share information on planned building activities if asked to? Yes □ Depends (Please Elaborate:) □ No→ Skip to B4

The 2005 Harvard University Home Builder Survey – Corporate Overview B2. Do you share planned building activities with all dealers and suppliers, or just those with which you have a sr

B2.	arranger All Just	share planned building activities with all dealers and suppliers, or just those with which you have a special ment? dealers and suppliers those with special arrangement er (Please Specify)	
В3.	Plan Plan Plan Plan	into the future do you share your planned building activity? (Check all that apply) and activities for next 1-2 week(s) and activities for next 1-3 month(s) and activities for next year activities for next several years	
B4.	millword Mo Abo Few	es the number of suppliers that you presently use at a given location for lumber, building materials, and k compare to the number that you used 5 years ago? (Check only one) re suppliers now but the same ver suppliers now trend, varies from location to location	
B5.	How does the number of suppliers you use now at a given location compare to the number you are likely to use 5 years from now? (Check only one) ☐ More suppliers in 5 years ☐ About the same ☐ Fewer suppliers in 5 years		
B6.	Does your company make any product selections on a centralized corporate basis (as opposed to by divisions, or by letting subcontractors select products)? ☐ Yes ☐ No →Skip to B7		
	B6a.	 (If yes:) Do you currently conduct formal reviews of corporate-selected products selected centrally? ☐ Yes, on a regular basis ☐ Yes, as needed ☐ No 	
	B6b.	Did you conduct reviews 5 years ago? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
B7.	with dea	r company have any corporate-negotiated pricing, product volume discount, or rebate programs in place alers or manufacturers in 2004? → Skip to B8	
	B7a.	 (If yes:) Are these programs generally company-wide, or do they generally apply to just select locations? □ Company wide □ Select locations □ Depends on product 	

THE ZU	US Mai	varu University Home Builder Survey – Corporate Overview
	B7b.	Are these programs generally with dealers or manufacturers? Generally only with dealers Generally only with manufacturers With both
Product	t Lines	
The nex and win		n asks about four different product lines. They are: OSB/plywood / sheathing, wallboard, siding products,
Please a	nswer t	he following questions on OSB/plywood/sheathing products used in homes you build.
В8.	Who d	lecides which brand and type of OSB/plywood/sheathing products to use in the homes built by your any?
		Generally done centrally
		Generally done at divisional level →Skip to B9
		Generally subs select →Skip to B9
		Generally homebuyer selects →Skip to B9
		Other (Please Specify:)
	B8a.	(If done centrally:) Do you put the OSB/plywood/sheathing product line out for bid every year? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Depends
	B8b.	(If done centrally:) Do you conduct a formal review of the OSB/plywood/sheathing product line? ☐ Yes, annually ☐ Yes, as needed ☐ No →Skip to B9
		B8b1. (If yes:) What is currently covered in these reviews? (Check all that apply) Pricing Product performance Manufacturer performance Dealer performance Customer satisfaction Other – (Please Specify:) Do not conduct reviews
В9.	OSB/I	ou have any corporate-negotiated pricing, volume discounts, or rebate programs with dealers in place for plywood/sheathing products in 2004? es o corporate programs, just divisional arrangements →Skip to B10 o programs →Skip to B10
	В9а.	(If yes:) Are these dealer-based corporate-negotiated programs for OSB/plywood/sheathing national or regional (i.e do they apply to all of your divisions or just some)? ☐ National apply to all locations ☐ Regional—apply to some locations

The 2005 Harvard University Home Builder Survey – Corporate Overview B9b. What elements did these dealer programs cover for your OSB/plywood/sheathing products in 2004?

	В96.	What elements did these dealer programs cover for your OSB/plywood/sheathing products in 2004? (Check all that apply) ☐ Favorable pricing ☐ Guaranteed supply ☐ Automatic best pricing available ☐ Rebate programs ☐ Showroom support
B10.	program Yes	
	☐ No	→Skip to B13
	B10a.	Are these manufacturer-based corporate-negotiated programs for OSB/plywood/sheathing national or regional (i.e. do they apply to all of your divisions or just some)? National apply to all locations Regional—apply to some locations
	B10b.	What elements did these manufacturer-based programs for OSB/plywood/sheathing cover in 2004? (Check all that apply) Favorable pricing Guaranteed supply Automatic best pricing available Rebate programs Showroom support
B11.		mately what % of your company's total construction was covered under these OSB/plywood/sheathing is in 2004?
	%	
B12.	How important were these volume discount or rebate programs to your selection of OSB/plywood/sheathing products in 2004? Very important Somewhat important Not very important	
Please a	nswer the	e following questions on wallboard products used in homes you build.
B13.		cides which brand and type of wallboard products to use in the homes built by your company? Generally done centrally done at divisional level →Skip to B14 Generally subs select → Skip to B14 Generally homebuyer selects →Skip to B14
	B13a.	(If done centrally:) Do you put the wallboard product line out for bid every year? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Depends

	B13b.	(if done centrally:) Do you conduct a formal review of the wallboard product line? Yes, annually Yes, as needed No →Skip to B14
		B13b1. (if yes:) What is currently covered in these reviews? (Check all that apply) Pricing Product performance Manufacturer performance Dealer performance Customer satisfaction Other Do not conduct reviews
B14.	wallboa Yes No	have any corporate-negotiated pricing, volume discounts, or rebate programs in place with dealers for rd in 2004? corporate programs, just divisional arrangements →Skip to B15 programs →Skip to B15
	B14a.	Are these dealer-based corporate-negotiated programs for wallboard national or regional (i.e. do they apply to all of your divisions or just some)? National—apply to all locations Regional—apply to some locations
	B14b.	What elements did these dealer programs cover for your wallboard products in 2004? (Check all that apply) Favorable pricing Guaranteed supply Automatic best pricing available Rebate programs Showroom support
B15.	program Yes	have any corporate-negotiated pricing, volume discounts, rebate programs, or "preferred" buying s with manufacturers in 2004 for wallboard ?
	B15a.	Are these manufacturer-based corporate-negotiated programs for wallboard national or regional (i.e. do they apply to all of your divisions or just some)? National—apply to all locations Regional—apply to some locations
	B15b.	What elements did these manufacturer-based programs for wallboard cover in 2004? (Check all that apply) Favorable pricing Guaranteed supply Automatic best pricing available Rebate programs Showroom support

B16.	Approximately what % of your company's total construction was covered under these wallboard programs in 2004?
	%
B17.	How important were these volume discount or rebate programs to your selection of wallboard products in 2004? ☐ Very important ☐ Somewhat important ☐ Not very important
Please a	answer the following questions on siding products used in homes you build.
B18.	Who decides which brand and type of siding products to use in the homes built by your company? ☐ Generally done centrally ☐ Generally done at divisional level →Skip to B19 ☐ Generally subs select →Skip to B19 ☐ Generally homebuyer selects →Skip to B19 ☐ Other (Please Specify) →Skip to B19
	B18a. (If done centrally:) Do you put the siding product line out for bid every year? Yes No Depends
	B18b. (If done centrally:) Do you conduct a formal review of siding product line? ☐ Yes, annually ☐ Yes, as needed ☐ No →Skip to B19
	B18b1. (If yes) What is currently covered in these reviews? (Check all that apply) Pricing Product performance Manufacturer performance Dealer performance Customer satisfaction Other – (Please Specify:) Do not conduct reviews
B19.	Did you have any corporate-negotiated pricing, volume discounts, or rebate programs in place with dealers for siding products in 2004? ☐ Yes ☐ No corporate programs, just divisional arrangements →Skip to B20 ☐ No programs →Skip to B20
	B19a. Are these corporate-negotiated programs for siding products national or regional (i.e. do they apply to all of your divisions or just some)? Description National—apply to all locations Description Regional—apply to some locations

The 2005 Harvard University Home Builder Survey – Corporate Overview B19b. What elements did these dealer programs cover for your siding products in 2004?

	B196.	What elements did these dealer programs cover for your siding products in 2004? (Check all that apply) Favorable pricing Guaranteed supply Automatic best pricing available Rebate programs Showroom support
B20.	program Ye	a have any corporate-negotiated pricing, volume discounts, rebate programs, or "preferred" buying ms with manufacturers in 2004 for siding ? s →Skip to B23
	B20a.	Are these manufacturer-based corporate-negotiated programs for siding products national or regional (i.e. do they apply to all of your divisions or just some)? National—apply to all locations Regional—apply to some locations
	B20b.	What elements did these manufacturer-based programs for siding cover in 2004? (Check all that apply) Favorable pricing Guaranteed supply Automatic best pricing available Rebate programs Showroom support
B21.	Approximately what percent of your company's total construction was covered under these siding programs in 2004?%	
B22.		Inportant were these volume discount or rebate programs to your selection of siding products in 2004? Wery important Somewhat important Not very important
Please	answer th	ne following questions on window products used in homes you build.
B23.		ecides which brand and type of window products to use in the homes built by your company? Generally done centrally Generally done at divisional level → Skip to B24 Generally subs select → Skip to B24 Generally homebuyer selects → Skip to B24 Other (Please Specify:) → Skip to B24
	B23a.	(If done centrally:) Do you put the window product lines out for bid every year? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Depends

	B23b.	(If done centrally:) Do you conduct a formal review of window product lines? ☐ Yes, annually ☐ Yes, as needed ☐ No →Skip to B24	
		B23b1. (If yes) What is currently covered in these reviews? (Check all that apply) Pricing Product performance Manufacturer performance Dealer performance Customer satisfaction Other – (Please Specify:) Do not conduct reviews	
B24.	Did you have any corporate-negotiated pricing, volume discounts, or rebate programs with dealers in place for window products in 2004? ☐ Yes ☐ No corporate programs, just divisional arrangements →Skip to B25 ☐ No programs →Skip to B25		
	B24a.	Are these dealer-based corporate-negotiated programs for windows national or regional (i.e. do they apply to all of your divisions or just some)? National—apply to all locations Regional—apply to some locations	
	B24b.	What elements did these dealer programs cover for your window products in 2004? (Check all that apply) Favorable pricing Guaranteed supply Automatic best pricing available Rebate programs Showroom support	
B25.	program Yes	have any corporate-negotiated pricing, volume discounts, rebate programs, or "preferred" buying as with manufacturers in 2004 for windows ? →Skip to C1	
	B25a.	Are these manufacturer-based corporate-negotiated programs for windows national or regional (i.e. do they apply to all of your divisions or just some)? National—apply to all locations Regional—apply to some locations	
	B25b.	What elements did these manufacturer-based programs for windows cover in 2004? (Check all that apply) Favorable pricing Guaranteed supply Automatic best pricing available Rebate programs Showroom support	

I ne 20	us Harv	ard University Home Builder Survey – Corporate Overview	
B26.	Approxi	imately what % of your company's total construction was covered under these window programs in 2004?	
	%	D	
B27.	☐ Ver☐ Sor☐ Not	portant were these volume discount or rebate programs to your selection of window products in 2004? The important is very important to the very important to the important in the important to the important in the important ind	
		e following questions about your corporate IT systems.	
C1.	Does your company use computerized systems for estimating construction costs ? ☐ Yes ☐ No →Skip to C2		
	C1a.	(If yes:) Is your construction cost estimating system used company-wide? Yes, estimating system currently used company-wide	
		 No, estimating system not company-wide, but have plans to implement it company-wide in the near future No, estimating system not company-wide 	
	C1b.	If your estimating software uses company historical product and installation costs to project current costs, how many years of history are considered? (Check one) 1 year 2 years 3 years or more	
		Do not use historical data from my company for estimating.	
C2.	Yes	our company use computerized systems for subcontract bidding ? →Skip to C3	
		•	
	C2a.	 (If yes:) Is your subcontract bidding system used company-wide? Yes, subcontract bidding system currently used company-wide No, subcontract bidding system not company-wide, but have plans to implement it company-wide in the near future 	
		□ No, subcontract bidding system not company-wide	
	C2b.	Is the subcontract bidding information electronically accessible by field operations and subcontractors? ☐ Field Operations only ☐ Subcontractors only ☐ Both field operations and subcontractors	
C3.	☐ Yes	our company use computerized scheduling systems? →Skip to C4	
	C3a.	 (If yes:) Is your scheduling system used company-wide? Yes, scheduling system currently used company-wide No, scheduling system not company-wide, but have plans to implement it company-wide in the near future 	
		No scheduling system not company-wide	

	C3b.	Is scheduling information electronically accessible by field operations, suppliers, subcontractors, or customers? (Check all that apply) ☐ Field Operations
		Suppliers
		□ Subcontractors
		☐ Customers
C4.	virtual Ye	
	C4a.	(If yes:) Are your visualization/presentation systems used company-wide?
		Yes, visualization/presentation system currently used company-wide
		No, visualization/presentation system not company-wide, but have plans to implement it company-
		wide in the near future □ No, visualization/presentation system not company-wide
		110, visualization/presentation system not company-wide
	C4b.	Are these functions electronically accessible by field operations and customers? (Check all that apply)
		Field Operations
		□ WEB based public site□ Only Customers at model home
		Only Customers at model nome
C5.	during Ye	
	☐ No	\rightarrow Skip to C6
	C5a.	(If yes:) Are these systems used company-wide?
		☐ Yes, systems currently used company-wide
		No, systems not company-wide, but have plans to implement it company-wide in the near future
		□ No, systems not company-wide
	C5b.	Are these communications to homebuyers WEB based?
		☐ Yes
		□ No
	C5c.	Are home status reports updated? (Check one)
		☐ Daily ☐ Weekly
		☐ Monthly
		☐ As needed
C6.		you for the time you have spent completing this survey. If you have completed it on paper, please complete ormation below:
	Your N	Jame: Email address:
	Compa	ny Name: or web survey login ID
	P**	